

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,081	09/04/2003	Hae-Don Chon	.678-1218 (P11022)	1856
7590 09/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Paul J. Farrell			SOTOMAYOR, JOHN B	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Uniondale, NY 11553			3662	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/656,081	CHON ET AL.	CHON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
	1			
John B. Sotomayor	3662			

Advisory Action	10/656,081	1 CHON ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John B. Sotomayor	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<del>-</del>				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	e final rejection, whicheve	r is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brice	f will not be entered b				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>4</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).			
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		•	nce because:			
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>3. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	_ · ·			
		John B. Sotomayor Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662	5			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed claim amendments to independent claim 1 can still be interpreted to be rendered obvious over the prior art of record. Namely, the RFID device being installed at a predetermined location of a road surface is still considered to be obvious over the prior art of record since the amendment is not specific enough with respect to the road surface so as to preclude a stationary mount or even a vehicle on a road surface having an RFID device.